RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Jun-22-2006 03:33pm

From-B&D PATENT DEPT.

JUN 2 2 2006-716-2610

T-549 P.001/004 F-913

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0551-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM		Application Number		10/642,860		
		Filing Date		August 18, 2003		
		First Named Inventor		Paul S. White et al.		
		Art Unit		1745		
(to be used for all correspondence after	Examiner Name		J Maples			
Total Number of Pages in This Submiss		Attorney Docket N	umber	TN-16310		
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC		
Fee Attached	Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply	Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application			Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address			Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request	Teminal Disclaimer				inclosure(s) dentify below):	
Express Abandonment Request	Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement	Landscape Table on CD					
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	Remarks					
Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR1.52 or 1.53						
SIGI	NATURE OF A	APPLICANT, ATTO	RNEY, OI	R AGENT		
Firm	Black & Decker Inc.					
Signature	tela					
Printed Name	Adan Ayala, Esq.					
Date	June 22, 2006 Reg. No. 38,373					
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date-shown below.						
Signature	10		,. ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Typed or printed name Adan Ava	la. Esq.			Date	June 22, 2006	

This coflection of information is required by S7 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual caso. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form antidry suggestions for returning this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 145D, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 145D, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Serial No. 10/642,860 UTILITY PATENT

JUN 2 2 2006

Resp to Off Act mailed on Apr. 3, 2006 B&D No. TN-1631C

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Paul S. WHITE et al.

Serial No.: 10/642,860

Examiner: J. Maples

Filed: August 18, 2003

Group Art Unit: 1745

For:

BATTERY PACK

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, DC 20231

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

I, Adan Ayala, PTO Reg. No. 38,373, hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark-Office Fax No. (571) 273-8300 on 6-22-06

Adan Ayala

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed on April 3, 2006.

The Examiner rejected Claims 48-65 under 35 USC § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

First, the Examiner noted that "there is specific description of a cavity in the present specification and the [cavity] is also not depicted in the drawings." This is incorrect.

The fundamental factual inquiry in determining whether the written description requirement has been met is "whether the specification conveys with reasonable clarity to those skilled in the art that, as of the filing date sought, applicant was in possession of the invention as now claimed." MPEP § 2163.02 (citing Vas-Cath, Inc. v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d 1555, 1563-64, 19